

I have always been opposed to deregulation of the broadcast media. The Sinclair Broadcasting's decision to force their stations to air an anti-Kerry documentary days before the election is a clear example of why I feel this way.

Sinclair uses the public airwaves free of charge, and is obligated by law to serve the public. This is clearly an attempt to influence the public opinion unduly with a power unique to a group in the position that this group is in. It is the very reason media was regulated to begin with.

If these people are allowed to use this undue influence over an election, and are successful, what are they going to use this influence to slant public opinion on next? Thank you. Joseph Kohler

.